70 Years of Championing Education and Empowerment
companies or shot by staff. For details and purchased through stock photography is printed in California. Unless otherwise 916.447.8555 or email info@faccc.org. For a copy of the writers’ guidelines, please call text according to the American Psychological 916.447.0726. FACCC, FACCC Education Institute, their basis. We value students and the significance of the student-faculty relationship and the opportunity to foster mutual growth and success. We value diverse voices, perspectives, and cultures of both students and faculty in the quest for social justice and equity. We value community colleges as a driving force for economic growth, social cohesion, and opportunities for personal advancement for all Californians. We value collegiality and a working environment that recognizes the importance of all organizational and personal voices in serving students. We value the growth and development of all faculty members as professionals in service of their communities, their institutions, and their students.

VALUES We value the expertise, experience, and professionalism of all faculty, full-time and part-time, as the primary force for advancing the mission of California Community Colleges. We value students and the significance of the student-faculty relationship and the opportunity to foster mutual growth and success. We value diverse voices, perspectives, and cultures of both students and faculty in the quest for social justice and equity. We value community colleges as a driving force for economic growth, social cohesion, and opportunities for personal advancement for all Californians. We value collegiality and a working environment that recognizes the importance of all organizational and personal voices in serving students. We value the growth and development of all faculty members as professionals in service of their communities, their institutions, and their students.

EDUCATION INSTITUTE MISSION The policy institute that enhances teaching and learning through research, communication, and professional development opportunities for community college faculty.

VISTION An educational environment that is equitable, accessible, and appropriately funded led by a diverse and empowered faculty. Every faculty a member, every member an advocate, every advocate informed.

GENERAL INQUIRIES www.info@faccc.org tel. 916.447.8555 | 916.447.0726

MISSION To inform, educate, empower, and advocate for faculty in service to students and the communities of California.

FOR THE RECORD It is FACCC’s policy to correct errors promptly. Please notify Executive Director Evan Hawkins of any errors at info@faccc.org

FACCCTS, Fall 2023, published by the Faculty Association of California Community Colleges, 1823 11th Street, Sacramento, CA 95811. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to FACCC, 1823 11th Street, Sacramento, CA 95811. Copyright 2023 by the Faculty Association of California Community Colleges and the Faculty Association of California Community Colleges Education Institute. All rights reserved.

FACCCTS is a nonprofit professional association that advocates for all California Community College faculty, and to sister corporation, FACCC Education Institute, offers information and professional development for faculty. FACCCCTS is typically published twice during the academic year, offering information, analysis, and provocative viewpoints on politics, philosophy, and education. FACCCCTS’ primary purpose is to provide a forum for faculty and the California Community College community. Opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of FACCC, FACCC Education Institute, their boards, general membership, or staff. FACCCCTS publishes letters to the editor, commentaries, and other contributions on a space-available basis. FACCCCTS reserves the right to edit all text according to the American Psychological Association style and as deemed necessary. For a copy of the writer’s guidelines, please call 916.447.8555 or email info@faccc.org. FACCCCTS is printed in California. Unless otherwise indicated, artwork for this publication is purchased through stock photography companies or shot by staff. For details and questions, contact Editor Ashley Hamilton at 916.447.8555 or email ashleyhamilton@faccc.org.

BOARD OF GOVERNORS

PRESIDENT Wendy Brill-Wynkoop College of the Canyons
VICE PRESIDENT Oranit Limmaneeprasert American River College

GOVERNORS Donna Frankl Foothill College Brian Greene Columbia College John Gooyal City College Michelle Hagerty College of the Redwoods Daniel Judge East Los Angeles College

SECRETARY Jason Mayfield Diablo Valley College

PART-TIME FACULTY OFFICER Linda Sneed Cosumnes River College

TREASURER Mario Martinez Santa Monica College

GOVERNORS Donna Frankl Foothill College Brian Greene Columbia College John Gooyal City College Michelle Hagerty College of the Redwoods Daniel Judge East Los Angeles College

EDITOR Ashley Hamilton

VICE PRESIDENT Oranit Limmaneeprasert American River College

TREASURER Mario Martinez Santa Monica College

GOVERNORS Donna Frankl Foothill College Brian Greene Columbia College John Gooyal City College Michelle Hagerty College of the Redwoods Daniel Judge East Los Angeles College

EDITOR Ashley Hamilton

FALL 2023

FEATURES

4 The FACTS of FACCCTS: An Anniversary Introduction to the History of the Journal by Ryan Tripp, Los Medanos College
8 Bring Community Back to Community College by Wendy Brill-Wynkoop, FACC President, and Ginni May, ASCCC President
12 The AI Revolution: ChatGPT’s Influence on Education and Integrity by David E. Balch, PhD, Professor, Rio Hondo College
14 Words of Wisdom from FACCC’s Presidents by Amy Leonard, De Anza College
18 Crossword Puzzle
20 Member Interviews by Oranit Limmaneeprasert, FACC Vice President
22 In Pictures: 2023 California Great Teachers Seminar
Seventy years ago, the California Junior College Faculty Association (CJCFA), composed primarily of full-time instructors, declared independence from its parent organization, the California Junior College Association (CJCA). The institutional rebellion resulted from junior college faculty failure to secure more representation in the CJCA, an association purportedly dominated by state and unified high school administrators. In 1960, California Governor Edmund G. “Pat” Brown approved the Donahue Act and Master Plan for Higher Education, legal blueprints for the respective roles of the University of California, California State University, and the California Community Colleges. The Master Plan also prompted CJCFA officers to publish a newsletter, the Bulletin of the California Junior College Faculty Association. But conflicts with the CJCA continued to plague the fledgling collective. During the next half-century, both the CJCFA and its Bulletin nevertheless transformed into the Faculty Association of California Community Colleges and its flagship journal, FACCCTS.

A January 1962 Bulletin headline story explained that “Democratization” of the California Junior College Association was urgent recently to counteract the growing CJCFA movement...the president of the College of San Mateo [Dr. Julio Bortolazo] told delegates that the CJCA must give classroom teachers full voice in the CJCA. Officers to publish a newsletter, the Bulletin of the California Junior College Faculty Association. But conflicts with the CJCA continued to plague the fledgling collective. During the next half-century, both the CJCFA and its Bulletin nevertheless transformed into the Faculty Association of California Community Colleges and its flagship journal, FACCCTS.

The Bulletin editor reproduced the Republican candidate’s promises to demarcate secondary school administration from related, but distinct, junior college administration. Dowden reported that “Richard Nixon characterized the junior college as ‘neither fish nor fowl,’ caught between local school district controls and higher education plans. He suggested defining junior college status and responsibilities in a new education code. The Bulletin editor believed that Nixon’s leadership would be needed for the passage of this new code, but Nixon ultimately lost the election to the Democratic incumbent.

In June 1963, the California Legislature approved SB 604 and the fourth article, fifth chapter of the California Education Code, Division 18.5, authorizing separation of junior colleges from high school or unified school districts. More specifically, Concurrent Resolution 48 requested Bureau sponsorship of academic senatorial elections in each junior college. These senators represented faculty in policy administration and academic curricula. Yet the state government still classified junior colleges as “secondary schools” for the purposes of fiscal integration. John Palmer continued to serve as CJCFA corresponding secretary and Bulletin co-editor, alongside mainstay John Dowden.

In February 1963, CJCFA officers hired Sacramento City College alumnus and attorney Bill Smith to act as a CJCFA legislative advocate. CJCFA officers appointed Smith to his very own Bulletin column, the “Legislative Report.” He reported on CJCFA-sponsored bills, proposals, and, in November 1964, a petition for “a single agency to coordinate junior college education.” In the next issue, editors referred to this agency as a “state board of education for junior colleges” independent of the State Department of Education, first included in a petition for a law “permitting appointment of 15 members of a state board for junior colleges by the governor. Each member would be appointed for a term of eight years with two terms only. Two members would be appointed every two years on the basis of staggered terms.”

In March 1966, Bill Smith warned readers that “in an election year there are many pitfalls which elected officials must avoid without creating unnecessary traps.” That July, he asserted that “it would be well for the local CJCFA members to see to it that fellow faculty members are informed about the candidates and their views on education and specifically the junior colleges.” Three months after Republican candidate Ronald Reagan’s gubernatorial victory, the co-editors invited CJCFA President Donald Fitzgerald to respond to the governor-elect’s— and then governor’s— proposal to deduct 10% from university and state college budgets, as well as his proposal for university tuition.
In the February 1967 issue, the CJCFA president admitted that the Republican “governor and his advisors are determined to end the advantages of the community colleges.” In the next issue, Smith predicted that “liberal legislators who are carry-overs are also going to be acting very conservatively this session, a result of the 1966 election returns,” but hoped that the Democratic majority in the State Assembly would force “an open and drastic split with his [Gov. Reagan’s] legislative program.”

In a June 1967 Bulletin, Bill Smith discussed SB 669, a law transferring control of California junior colleges to a board of governors appointed by the state governor and confirmed by the Senate. Smith also summarized the Bee Bill (AB 1790), establishing the Chancellor’s Office for California Junior Colleges. The Board of Governors selected and appointed a chancellor, aligning with CJCFA’s early endorsement of the bill in 1963 (1964).

In 1969, the California Junior College Faculty Association, rechristened the Faculty Association of California Community Colleges (FACCCTS) and established its main office in Sacramento. After the 1974 election of Democratic Governor Jerry Brown (son of former Governor Edmund G. “Pat” Brown), editors of this new FACCCTS Bulletin refocused reports by Bill Smith’s successor, attorney Karen Angel, on Board of Governors activities as well as legislation such as Proposition 13. After a 1977 retirement, FACCCTS officers appointed attorney Karen Angel to the position of executive director. Editors suggested publication of legislative articles in a newsletter distinct from the faculty-authored FACCCTS Bulletin. The next month, an announcement appeared in the Bulletin: “this January FACCCTS inaugurated a new monthly newsletter: FACCCTS...Trends, Decisions and Events at the State Capitol.”

Two years later, new Executive Director and attorney Patrick McCallum assumed the role of primary author of FACCCTS.

In the December 1982 issue of FACCCTS, Patrick McCallum informed readers that “George Deukmejian may be one of the more supportive governors for CCs.” Governor-elect Deukmejian has stated that public education is one of his top priorities.” But in January 1983, McCallum referred to a proposed 8% deduction from the community college budget as “CCs Duked.” At the end of Deukmejian’s second term, he retrospectively averred that “the community colleges didn’t get the same funding increases during Deukmejian’s second term as say, prisons, but we received just enough to begin the long road to recovery.”

In the December 1990 issue (co-edited by Kelly Wilkerson), FACCCTS President Dolores Campbell, Vice President Marjorie Lasky, and former President Ted Hamilton critiqued Governor-elect Pete Wilson’s campaign pledges. They called for support in K-14 budgets, community college funds, the Transfer Guarantee Bill, more full-time faculty, and better retirement benefits in an open letter. Four years later, Patrick McCallum and co-editors expressed concerns that Governor Wilson might rely on “phantom federal funds” and increased student fees. Thomas Nussbaum recalled subsidies after enrollment and transfers, a facet of Wilson’s “Partnership for Excellence.”

To counter the uncertainty of the Deukmejian era, McCallum and new FACCCTS co-editors David Hawkins and Kim-Weir showed faculty endorsement by publishing FACCCTS’s support for Kathleen Brown, the democratic treasurer, for governor in 1994. Brown’s speech on community college accessibility, fee equality, credit transfer, and faculty involvement was featured. Incumbent Governor Wilson’s victory led to reproducing John Dowden’s CJCFA address by Richard Nixon from 1962, who later became president. The journal’s name changed to FACCCTS: The Journal of California Community College Faculty. The journal continued to highlight calls to action and endorsements. By September 1998, the FACCCTS communications committee announced FACCCTS endorsement of Gray Davis as California governor and published an interview with the candidate.

In the December 1990 issue of FACCCTS, President Dolores Campbell, Vice President Marjorie Lasky, and former President Ted Hamilton called for support in K-14 budgets, community college funds, the Transfer Guarantee Bill, more full-time faculty, and better retirement benefits in an open letter.

In 1990, the FACCC communications committee announced FACCC endorsement of Gray Davis as California governor.

In 1998, the FACCC Political Action Committee (PAC)—composed of five full-time faculty—authored an interests-based endorsement for the reelection of Gray Davis.
California’s vast and diverse community college system represents an essential pillar of accessible and affordable higher education for all who seek it. With 116 campuses and over 1.8 million students enrolled annually, these institutions together form the largest system of higher education in the entire nation. Their extensive geographic reach across the state provides open doors to uplift and empower all who strive for personal, professional, and economic advancement, from remote rural areas to urban centers.

However, in recent years, policymakers have tended to overemphasize narrow performance metrics related to transfers and degree completion rates, often losing sight of the more holistic measures of student success tailored to diverse goals and situations. This risks diminishing the comprehensive educational and social missions community colleges have delivered for decades as open-access institutions embedded within the fabric of local communities. With proper resources and supportive policies aligned with student-centered values, California’s community colleges can maintain excellence across the full breadth of their offerings and continue serving as engines of economic mobility.

As the system emerges from the upheaval of the COVID-19 pandemic, it now marks a critical juncture to re-center this community-focused vision and create space for policies and innovations that address evolving economic and social contexts. Doing so requires grappling with the true diversity of student needs and life circumstances that shape the pursuit of education differently.

While community colleges serve students of all backgrounds, their open admission policies intentionally target demographics historically underrepresented in higher education. Older adults balancing work and families, single parents, formerly incarcerated individuals, immigrants, first-generation students, and others facing systemic barriers disproportionately rely on community colleges as springboards for advancement. These students arrive with a multiplicity of circumstances, commitments, and goals shaping their educational journeys. While some seek personal enrichment and lifelong learning to satisfy intellectual curiosity, others require developmental education to strengthen foundational skills as a necessary first step. Many balance full-time jobs crucial for making ends meet while supporting spouses, children, siblings, or elders. Amid the grind of competing priorities, most can attend only part-time, frequently prolonged over many years, rather than the traditional two for an associate degree.

Nearly all confront challenges meeting basic needs, with housing and food insecurity distressingly commonplace. Blanket policies emphasizing standardized metrics around transfers to four-year institutions and degree completion timelines often fail to accommodate the inherent diversity of student situations and needs. Success cannot be neatly measured by one-size-fits-all technical benchmarks alone. The colleges must retain flexibility and autonomy to nurture each student holistically, helping tailor academic paths aligned to their unique aspirations, constraints, and life circumstances. Resources are sorely needed to help students thoughtfully navigate goals, realistic timelines, financial costs, and other logistics fundamental to staying the course.

While transfer pathways to universities remain invaluable options, short-term vocational programs and career education represent equally viable routes for timely socioeconomic advancement suited to community college students’ needs. Skills-building courses, industry certifications, vocational training, and associate degrees directly targeting local high-demand employment sectors can provide entry points into livable-wage jobs without the extended time commitments of prolonged prerequisite course sequences. Strong career education programming strengthens regional economies as graduates put skills to immediate use across healthcare, technology, construction, hospitality, and other essential industries. It enables colleges to adapt offerings to the shifting job landscapes in real-time nimbly. Ongoing partnerships with local government and industry leaders ensure alignment with real-world economic needs.

As automation transforms work across sectors, community colleges will become crucial resources for displaced workers needing to retrain and upskill into newly emerging roles. However, career education cannot be permitted to fall by the wayside in favor of singular...
academic transfer pathways; it, too, requires ample support and thoughtful integration into holistic programs serving diverse students.

Beyond formally enrolled students taking classes for credit, community colleges also serve surrounding communities day-to-day as anchor institutions and invaluable public resources. Their campuses provide communal spaces welcoming diverse groups to come together, interact, and nourish themselves intellectually, creatively, physically, and socially. Across theatre productions, museum exhibitions, developmental education courses, business accelerators, child care services, and much more, everyday citizens can engage with their local college at any age or stage of life. Community organizations and local government agencies connect through forums, community colleges as engines of knowledge, innovation, and growth that advance us all. This is the promise of California’s community colleges.

While community colleges serve students of all backgrounds, their open admission policies intentionally target demographics historically underrepresented in higher education. Older adults balancing work and families, single parents, formerly incarcerated individuals, immigrants, first-generation students, and others facing systemic barriers disproportionately rely on community colleges as springboards for advancement.

**Students Served by California Community Colleges**

Serving 1.9 million students at 116 colleges, the California Community Colleges is the largest system of higher education in the country.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adult Students</th>
<th>Students of Color</th>
<th>With Associated Degree or Higher</th>
<th>Noncitizens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>74%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At their best, California’s community colleges represent democracy and social justice in action. They offer inclusive, affordable, high-quality education as an inalienable public good and right for all who seek it, not a privilege for the few. The diverse students who rely on these colleges have faced many systemic barriers yet draw hope for a better future from the profound power of education and skills training.

When adequately supported with funds and policies tailored to their comprehensive mission, California’s community colleges can fully uplift all students’ aspirations while enriching the cultural, economic, and social fabrics of the communities they call home. For the millions of Californians served by these institutions, the community colleges remain valued legacies worth fighting for, everyday emblems of possibility and progress.

The time is now to embrace this potential by recentering holistic services to students and communities, fostering flexible and creative pathways to success, demanding equitable outcomes and inclusion, and supporting the colleges as engines of knowledge, innovation, and growth that advance us all. This is the promise of California’s community colleges.
The AI Revolution: ChatGPT’s Influence on Education and Integrity

by David E. Balch, PhD, Professor, Rio Hondo College

Abstract

This article highlights the history and development of chatbots and artificial intelligence (AI), as well as potential positive and negative applications of ChatGPT in academia.

While some academics see ChatGPT as a potential avenue for diminishing critical thinking and ingenuity, others see it as a tool for brainstorming and creating first drafts. This article delves into the prospect of misuse and counterfeit scholarly articles, alongside recommendations for educators, such as using ChatGPT as a supplement and not a replacement for critical thinking, promoting digital literacy, and emphasizing the importance of human engagement and creativity in the writing process. In essence, the article stresses the importance of embracing emerging technologies like ChatGPT while also considering their potential impact on education and academic integrity.

ChatGPT, released in December 2022, is a computer program that simulates and processes human conversations, both written and oral. It is the result of a series of developments in chatbots, or chatter robots. Chatbots have been around since the 1950s, when they were created as a response to the Turing test, which questioned if a machine could engage in a conversation with a human without detection as a machine. The first chatbot, ELIZA, was created in 1966 to mimic a conversation with a psychotherapist. Today, the field of chatbot development is rapidly evolving with new chatbots being created regularly, compared to just the one 57 years ago.

The Development

ChatGPT marks a notable advancement in AI-driven writing, showcasing a broader spectrum of capabilities compared to alternative AI systems such as predictive text applications. Although both predictive text programs and ChatGPT harness the power of machine learning, the construction of ChatGPT entails a multi-stage journey of machine learning and refinement. However, the quality of input remains a crucial issue as the output can only be as good as the data it is trained on. One major concern in the use of artificial intelligence programs is “hallucinating” or making up facts. In one study by Arthur AI, a platform that monitors the productivity of machine-learning models, GPT-4 performed the best of all the models tested. The debate over misinformation by AI programs is more debated than the 2024 U.S. presidential election.

The principle of GIGO, “garbage in, garbage out,” still applies to AI-generated writing, highlighting the importance of ensuring high-quality input data.

The Impact

ChatGPT has both positive and negative impacts on education. Some educators view it as a danger to the full spectrum of learning, arguing that students will not develop the necessary skills for original ideas and critical thinking. Others see it as a useful tool for brainstorming, overcoming writer’s block, and creating first drafts. Furthermore, they believe that incorporating these technologies into education is necessary to prepare students for a world where they are commonplace. Regardless of personal views, it is essential for academics to acknowledge the impact of ChatGPT, including other AI programs, and not ignore its presence in education.
Words of Wisdom
from FACCC’s Presidents
by Amy Leonard

To celebrate the 70th anniversary of FACCC, we chatted with former presidents Dennis Smith, Carolyn Russell, and Leslie Smith. We delved into their experiences during their respective tenures as presidents and gained insights into their perspectives on the present condition of community colleges in California.

What was the highlight for you of being FACCC president?

Dennis Smith: The relationships with FACCC members, staff, and board members plus the student-focused campaigns with the other California community college faculty groups.

Carolyn Russell: The highlight of my presidency was the purchasing of our building, which became visible proof of our stability and substance. Before that, we rented space in what has become The Standard Hotel. We were at the mercy of landlords. Now we are owners.

Leslie Smith: The opportunity to make a difference, every minute of each and every day.

How do you see the community college landscape changing since you were president?

Dennis Smith: While the lowest per-pupil funding rate hasn’t changed, a more restrictive “student success” funding formula has emerged as a barrier to access for some students.

Leslie Smith: California was a purple state, we transitioned from a Republican governor to a Democratic one; the Legislature was divided, and you needed bipartisan support to get anything accomplished; Consultation Council had just been established and we were learning to work together; there were major changes inside FACCC itself.

We focused on:
1. making basic skills an equal mission with academic and vocational [training];
2. part-timer rights, including funding for office hours and healthcare;
3. increasing full-time faculty and expanding the definition to include counselors, librarians, and nurses;
4. defeating performance-based funding;
5. responding to national welfare reform through inclusion;
6. continuing to serve all students [despite budget cuts, being commended and recognized for that, and receiving full funding when the budget came back];
7. defeating common course numbering to ensure that all colleges had the ability to provide courses to meet the needs of all members of their local community and NOT reducing to the lowest common denominator found at all UCs and CSUs;
8. doing our own research to determine the most effective course of action rather than relying on foundations and other players with their own specific agendas and monetary goals;
9. stopping (a) attempts to make the community colleges a statewide system and ending local control which enables serving each and every local community, and (b) discussion of subsuming under CSU as New York does; and
10. creating unified advocacy and lobbying across all segments and organizations so we spoke with one voice and did not hit each other in the Legislature or administration, which meant we had to speak for everyone, NOT regard one group as more important than another.

California has gone “blue”, but seems to have abandoned the brilliance of the 1960 California Master Plan for Higher Education, which strived to make higher education free and available to all of California’s adults with the full intention of increasing upward mobility and social justice and equity. I heard former University of California President Clark Kerr address the Legislature and he was fully aware that the goal of the Master Plan was to transform our society. Luckily, a significant amount of research has been conducted on how the brain works (reference: www.pbs.org/wgbh/nova/series/your-brain/) It is clear that the more open, experimental, and creative the learning environment is, the greater the brain excels and achieves. The marketing ploy of naming all current policy pursuits “student SUCCESS” should entrap no one. Science, as always, should be the underlying basis of all policy proposals focused on learning, education, innovation, and breaking through all barriers.

We have never achieved accepting the top 12% of high school graduates to UC nor the top 33% to CSU. Community college enrollment has plunged by over one-third, yet we have stopped putting ACCESS as the top issue. We are focused on tick marks for researchers and foundations rather than increasing economic opportunity and upward mobility, social justice and equity, dreams, and sustainability for generations to come. While California is certainly building a global economy, we seem to have lost the goal of inclusion. Instead, we are being led by organizations and institutions that are conducting fundamentally flawed research that is encouraging or forcing students to go deeply in debt, enriching international loan companies; focusing on the troubling goals of completion and time to degree; focusing on the student group du jour rather than outreaching and embracing the entire adult population of California. This is tragic.

The concept of deciding what or who you want to be in high school is one of the reasons people left Europe, where you became what your parents were. When I was president, we pushed back on these unenlightened efforts. We were lucky that most folks recognized this was a route to the rationing of education, which became the mantra of Chancellor Jack Scott starting in 2008. The damage they have done is unimaginable. While there have always been the small minded in any community, through unity, valid research, hard work, commitment, and common values, we were able to hold them at bay.

>> continued on page 16
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What do you think the biggest issues are facing faculty today, and how do they differ from when you were president?

Dennis Smith: There has been a tremendous acceleration of faculty workload creep in the past 40 years. Faculty primacy for academic and professional matters, student learning objectives, performance-based funding schemes, shared governance, and technology-assisted learning are some of the reasons and too few faculty doing too much work is the result.

Carolyn Russell: The teaching environment has largely changed from in-person contact to virtual. Anyone who has participated in Zoom meetings understands that the dynamics are not the same. The sense of community is gone.

Leslie Smith: Today California has a more diverse population with a wider range of needs; never has the planet had such a pressing need to change the way we do almost everything, and change very rapidly; democracy itself is under attack, and many, many groups are LOSING rights and autonomy; AI is a game changer in ways we are only beginning to imagine; and many, many more globally and locally pressing issues.

I was president in the previous millennium. Faculty were fighting for change and gaining power and unity. We were part of California becoming a blue state, committed to serving more students, and the system itself was embracing throwing off all vestiges of its K-12 past and becoming an equal partner in California’s higher education system. We were trying to fulfill the dreams and promises of the California Master Plan for Higher Education. We were always fighting to move the entire system forward, for everyone.

This millennium is a very different era with much tougher and bigger issues. Unfortunately, I think we have lost ground since 2012, but are beginning to turn it around with AB811. Every single facet of society needs the breadth and depth of a comprehensive community college, based on open, and I hope, free enrollment, risk-free, learning from failure, demanding relevancy, serving all communities with endless innovation and experimentation. The task is daunting, but exhilarating; endless, but feasible; and, of incomparable importance. We can’t let the small thinkers, the fear mongers, the entitled “my group first and foremost” zealots destroy the dream. We are too fundamentally important to every single member of our society.

What is one issue that you think all faculty should be paying attention to?

Dennis Smith: More than two of every three California community college faculty have part-time temporary positions and are excluded from doing any of the above “college service” work. This only exacerbates the workload creep for full-time faculty.

Carolyn Russell: Social media are redefining society, creating detachment and isolation. The text you just received shatters the importance of the person standing next to you. Faculty gathering at lunch to discuss teaching failures and successes, to be, well, collegial, is becoming a thing of the past.

Leslie Smith: In the last decade or so, there has been incredible pressure to ration education and compress the mission into a 1950 junior college vision. The result has been a massive loss in enrollment. I remember when we marched in March 2003 with an enrollment of 2.9 million students. We were protesting proposed fee increases and cuts to enrollment. We won and continued to win for about 10 years. Now fees have increased, enrollment has plummeted to about 1.8 million, although the population and budget have grown significantly, as has the need for an education.

Faculty are on the front lines serving students and different faculty are serving different students. I think the most important issue is for faculty to keep their eye on the big picture, talk to each other, and become a unified voice fighting for an open enrollment, free community college system that is a creative and innovative force for all our adults, regardless of age, gender, background, goals, hopes, and dreams. While it’s important to understand and pay attention to the details, the war for the future of the community colleges is in the big picture policy. Faculty need to be leaders at COFO, in Consultation Council, in the Legislature and the Governor’s Office, at their own college and across the state in all venues.

What advice would you have for someone interested in becoming FACCC president?

Dennis Smith: The FACCC is the only singularly focused professional organization for California community college faculty. Every faculty member should be a member. One route to learning more about the organization is through the advisory committees.

Carolyn Russell: The assault on free speech, the disrespect for what we can learn from and say about the past, the refusal to listen to opinions contrary to our own are stifling growth and contributing to an incredibly naïve American perspective. In 1990, the faculty at my college were using Jerry Rubin’s book Do It as a teaching tool. In the chapter “F__ God,” the F word is repeated 406 times. We had lively discussions.

Leslie Smith: Jump at the opportunity to make a difference. The California community colleges play an incredibly important role in creating opportunity, upward mobility, and fulfilling dreams for California’s adults. We are living in a time of incredibly rapid change and the only feasible way of developing solutions, successfully adapting, and thriving rather than fearing change is through education and lifelong learning. The California community colleges need the best thinkers and open-minded leaders to fulfill our mission and obligation to our state. The presidency of FACCC is a critically important position, not only to our faculty and students, but also to the entire public education system, our economy, social justice, equity, and, quite literally, to the future for all of us.

What do you miss most about not being FACCC president?

Dennis Smith: Being at the center of the professional and political universe for California’s community college faculty and students.

Carolyn Russell: Don’t underestimate the need for, and power of, activism and advocacy in contributing to student success and maintaining safe places to explore controversy.

Leslie Smith: Making a difference, finding friends and allies, fighting the good fight 24/7/365. There was never a dull moment—sometimes good; sometimes bad; sometimes thrilling; sometimes infuriating; sometimes fun; sometimes exhausting—but always important.

Final thoughts to share with the FACCC audience.

Dennis Smith: Even if a person has retired as a community college faculty member, they remain connected to FACCC and be effective as an advocate for the issues they care about. Also, much gratitude to the FACCC staff and members for the ongoing excellent work.

Carolyn Russell: I miss working with Jonathan Lightman, one of, if not the brightest, most compassionate, respected, and creative persons to have ever worked in Sacramento. Best of all, he was FACCC’s face.

Leslie Smith: Remember that completion is not the best or most important aspect of anything, especially education. The journey, learning, exploring, creating, enjoying, failing, trying, and getting back up are all part of the experience, and success is wonderful. Take your time to fully engage. It’s the opportunity of a lifetime.

In anything that is important, there are always detractors, people or groups who find power in destruction and fear, rather than building and enlightenment.

Never let them drag you down, pull you off track or subvert your soul.

From American poet Jorie Graham, “this is your point when everything you do matters. How often does a soul get to live in such an era?”
year, the FACCC president added that the Faculty Association had endeavored to elevate career technical education “more prominently on the state’s agenda.”

In the six years before the COVID-19 pandemic, the “publications committee” became the “communications committee” once more, with part-time faculty serving as chairs and FACCC associate directors and event coordinators as editorial advisors. In 2018, FACCC cautiously endorsed Gavin Newsom for California governor. FACCC further endorsed Newsom’s reelection, but communications committee members had grown leery of Newsom’s track record on various bills, including those pertaining to part-time faculty.

In the pages of FACCCS, communications committee members and FACCC officers additionally promoted the idea of an ethnic studies requirement in California community colleges. The “signing of AB 1460 by Governor Newsom [for CSU]” and “expected growth in demand for courses in ethnic studies disciplines at California Community Colleges” fueled debates over a similar requirement in the community colleges of the Golden State. The Academic Senate for California Community Colleges subsequently proposed the following revisions to Title 5 §55063:

“Effective for all students admitted to a community college for the Fall 2021 term or any term thereafter, competence in ethnic studies shall be demonstrated by obtaining a satisfactory grade in a course from an ethnic studies discipline at the first- or second-year level. Satisfactory completion of an ethnic studies course at the first- or second-year level shall satisfy both this competency requirement and the coursework requirement set forth in subdivision (b) of this section. The competency requirements for ethnic studies may also be met by obtaining a satisfactory grade in courses in ethnic studies taught in or on behalf of other departments and disciplines that adhere to the minimum qualifications for ethnic studies disciplines as delineated in the California Community Colleges.”

Amid the COVID-19 pandemic in July 2021, the California Community College Board of Governors approved ASCCC/SC Title 5 §55063 revisions. These changes were seen as a response to faculty and student calls for an ethnic studies degree requirement. This move aligned with efforts to establish ethnic studies as a transfer requirement for CSU. Board of Governors President Pamela Haynes emphasized the opportunity for equity by fostering diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) and anti-racism in the system to better serve students and communities.

As the state Legislature increases its willingness to legislate community colleges, FACCCS authors and editors will be there to evaluate, engage, publish, and make the history of the Faculty Association of California Community Colleges.
Member Interviews

Kathy O’Connor
SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE

Gain the insights from long-time FACCC member Kathy O’Connor from Santa Barbara City College

Kathy spoke with FACCC Vice President Oranit Limmaneeprasert to emphasize the vital role that FACCC plays for faculty, extending her encouragement to both full-time and part-time educators to become members. Her journey with FACCC began in 1982 as a part-time faculty member, and since 1987, she has been a full-time contributor. Kathy’s involvement stems from her belief in the necessity of a strong state-level advocate to amplify faculty voices and champion our interests and those of our students. According to Kathy, FACCC conferences serve as empowering platforms for faculty development. She highlights how “The Weekly” acts as a beacon, ensuring faculty stay informed on statewide concerns and advocacy efforts. Passionate about sharing knowledge, Kathy actively disseminates the insights gained from FACCC’s emails to her colleagues. To enhance FACCC’s advocacy, Kathy underscores the importance of fostering and amplifying the collaboration between FACCC and the Academic Senate of California Community Colleges. Such a relationship is essential in preventing the recurrence of bills like AB 928 from becoming law. Kathy believes that AB 928’s potential impact on students’ personal growth and overall well-being, through the removal of important courses, must be addressed and prevented.

Robin Daniels
SANTA MONICA COLLEGE

FACC member Robin Daniels from Santa Monica College shares her thoughts with FACCC Vice President Oranit Limmaneeprasert

Robin’s journey with FACCC advocacy began in 2016 when she took her first steps by joining a committee. Fuelled by the support of her union and colleagues at Santa Monica College, she embraced the opportunity to contribute more significantly. Her decision led her to run for a position on the FACCC board, a role she successfully secured. Serving on the board proved enlightening, expanding her understanding of pivotal educational policies and the inner workings of the California Community Colleges Chancellor’s Office. Having actively participated in FACCC’s lobbying efforts both in Washington, D.C., and Sacramento, Robin underscores the importance of faculty establishing a continuous dialogue with Congress and the California Legislature. She also highlighted the significance of students becoming engaged in legislative advocacy. According to Robin, FACCC’s Lobby Day is a notable initiative, and she praises FACCC’s outreach to independent colleges. She envisions a broader reach with more conferences, including one at Compton College, to encourage heightened faculty and student involvement in crucial legislative endeavors.

Robin holds a firm stance on FACCC’s ethical considerations. She emphasized the need for conscientious decisions, urging FACCC to abstain from investing in prisons. On a different note, she values the recognition she received this March and underscores the importance of acknowledging and honoring faculty engaged in teaching within the prison system.

This insightful dialogue with Robin Daniels offers a glimpse into the diverse perspectives and goals that FACCC members like her bring to the table.

Crossword Solution:
(from page 18)

Across
1. The main FACCC publication
2. The year of FACCC’s 70th anniversary
3. A recent bill that affects ESL and pre-college math and English
7. FACCC members’ activism
8. The title of FACCC’s Saturday publication
9. Public support for a particular cause or policy

Down
1. The acronym for the funding formula for CA community colleges
2. Black, Indigenous, and People of Color
3. The main FACCC publication
4. Professors and counselors in CA community college
5. FACCC member Robin Daniels from Santa Monica College
6. SACRAMENTO
7. The title of FACCC’s Saturday publication
8. The acronym for the funding formula for CA community colleges
9. Black, Indigenous, and People of Color
CALIFORNIA GREAT TEACHERS SEMINAR

Relive the highlights of last summer’s unforgettable Great Teachers Seminar and become inspired for the next one. Will we see you there?
San Diego: June 9–13
Monterey: July 28–August 1

Potential Abuse

The concern over the potential misuse of ChatGPT is significant, as demonstrated in an instance in which a research paper authored by ChatGPT successfully passed peer review as if it had been written by a person. Academic journals, like Springer-Nature, are implementing policies that do not allow the use of ChatGPT (or other AI programs) to be listed as an author. Additionally, ChatGPT has demonstrated its ability to create academic abstracts that achieve peer review acceptance, even when reviewers are informed that some of these abstracts are fabricated.

While programs can reduce proofreading drudgery, ChatGPT produces texts that students might submit, and recent studies have shown that it can fool scientists nearly one-third of the time. However, AI detection tools like the GPT-2 Output Detector have proven effective in distinguishing between authentic and ChatGPT-generated text.

The discourse surrounding the use of AI-generated writing in academia is still in its early stages, and educators should not only coexist with this innovation but also integrate it into their teaching methods. In 2023, The Chronicle of Higher Education reported on the outcome of a virtual forum attended by 1,600 people on how ChatGPT affects education, with recommendations including communicating with students, being cautious about detection tools, using other methods to bolster academic integrity, utilizing ChatGPT as an educational aid, emphasizing the importance of critical thinking and creativity, susceptibility to misuse, and an excessive dependence on technology.

To transform these negatives into positives, educators have the opportunity to promote the utilization of AI-generated writing tools as supplementary aids. They can establish guidelines that prohibit the incorporation of AI-generated content in academic research or publications, provide instruction on discerning the reliability of information produced by AI, underscore the importance of human involvement and innovation in research and writing, and encourage the use of technology to be viewed as a tool, but not a replacement, for human abilities.
Your future will be here before you know it

To be ready, you’ll need personal savings and investments to complement your defined benefit pension.

Your school district offers Pension2 403(b) and 457(b) plans that come with:

• Lower costs
• Investment choices to match your investing style
• Help with planning and investing

If that’s the combination you’re looking for, go for Pension2 today!

Pension2 is for all school district employees

Pension2 is open to all school employees — teachers as well as those who work in administration, business and student services, athletics, food service and operations.